

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPL | ICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|------------------|-------|---------------------|
| 09/088,16 | 3 06/01/98 | MOSER, JR | J | 960514.OR1 |
| | | | | |

PM92/0118

HUGEN AND NIKOLAI 820 INTERNATIONAL CENTER 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-3325

| EX | AMINER |
|--------------|--------------|
| MILLEF | R, E |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 3641 | 8 |
| DATE MAILED: | 01/18/00 |

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

| , | ·. | | ADVISORY ACTION | ę w | |
|--------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| d | (тн | E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: | | | • |
| / a | ·) [] | is extended to run | or continues to run | from the date of the final rejecti | on |
| b |) A | expires three months from the c event however, will the statutor | date of the final rejection or as of the mailing period for the response expire later than | ng date of this Advisory Action, whicher six months from the date of the final re | ver is later. In no ejection. |
| | | The date on which the response purposes of determining the pe | obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR e, the petition, and the fee have been filed riod of extension and the corresponding a date of the originally set shortened statute | d is the date of the response and also t mount of the fee. Any extension fee pa | he date for the ursuant to 37 CFR |
| | Ap | pellant's Brief is due in accordan plicant's response to the final reje place the application in condition | ection, filed //// has been | n considered with the following effect, b | ut it is not deemed |
| 1. | . 🗆 | The proposed amendments to the | ne claim and /or specification will not be e | ntered and the final rejection stands be | cause: |
| | | a. There is no convincing shoresented. | owing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the pro | posed amendment is necessary and w | as not earlier |
| | | b. They raise new issues that | at would require further consideration and/ | or search. (See Note). | |
| | | c. They raise the issue of ne | ew matter. (See Note). | | |
| | | d. They are not deemed to appeal. | place the application in better form for ap | peal by materially reducing or simplifying | ng the issues for |
| | | e. They present additional of NOTE: | claims without cancelling a corresponding | number of finally rejected claims. | 45,928 |
| 2. | . 🗆 | Newly proposed or amended of the non-allowable claims. | = 1-6, as to 15 | ed if submitted in a separately filed ame | theans OH Fernandel andment cancelling |
| 3. | | Upon the filing an appeal, the p be as follows: | roposed amendment will be entered | will not be entered and the status of | of the claims will |
| | | Claims allowed: | | _ | |
| | | Claims objected to: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | _ | |
| | | Claims rejected: | | | |
| | | | vercome the following rejection(s): | | |
| | | , | | | |
| 4. | # | The affidavit, exhibit or request RN 90 | for reconsideration has been considered to the form from the party | out does not overcome the rejection be RN 15103-17-1 IS OR INCLUDET FR | S AGREED BUT |
| 5. | | The affidavit or exhibit will not be presented. | e considered because applicant has not sh | nown good and sufficent reasons why | was not earlier |
| | _ | <u>_</u> | | \mathcal{A} . | Via |
| . C | | | has has not been approved by the | e examiner. | Som maind B) |
| |] Oth | er | | | |

EDWARD A. MILLER PRIMARY EXAMINER